POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item 171

Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject: Planned Maintenance Budget Allocation 2013-14 and

Programme of Works for the Council's Operational

Buildings

Date of Meeting: 21 March 2013

Report of: Strategic Director Place

Contact Officer: Name: Angela Dymott Tel: 29-1450

Martin Hilson 29-1452

E-mail: angela.dymott@brighton-hove.gov.uk

martin.hilson@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Wards Affected: All

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

1. SUMMARY AND POLICY CONTEXT:

- 1.1 To report upon the proposed 2013-14 allocation of improvement and repair works to civic offices, historic, operational and commercial buildings within the Corporate Planned Maintenance Budget of £3,529,000 and the Social Care Planned Works Budget of £500,000.
- 1.2 These budgets relate to those buildings where the council has a repairing liability but excludes council housing, highways and educational establishments which have their own budgetary provisions.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

2.1 That Policy & Resources Committee approves the financial allocation to an annual programme of planned maintenance works to the operational buildings at an estimated cost of £4,029,000 as detailed in Appendices A and B, in accordance with Financial Regulations and grants delegated authority, where necessary, to the Strategic Director Place to enter into contracts within this budget which are procured in accordance with Contract Standing Orders.

3. RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION/CHRONOLOGY OF KEY EVENTS:

- 3.1 The Council's Asset Management Plan & Corporate Property Strategy (AMP & CPS) sets out the property context for the City and the council's property function linked to the corporate priorities and strategic objectives and is available for download from the Council's website. This document is being reviewed and will be re-published in 2013 14 setting out the context for the next 3 years.
- 3.2 In 2009, in support of the AMP & CPS the council published its Corporate Building Maintenance Strategy 2009-2012. This document is an appendix of the AMP and sets out a robust strategic framework to deliver the key property objective to optimise the contribution that property makes to the council's

priorities and strategic and service objectives. The aim is to ensure that finite maintenance resources are invested through prioritisation and targeted at our key operational assets to meet service delivery needs and maintain the value of our key assets. This document is to be reviewed in 2013 - 14 in line with the AMP & CPS.

- 3.3 Every building where the council has a repairing obligation under the Planned Maintenance Budget is allocated a rating of S1 to S5 to reflect its strategic importance to the council as detailed in the Building Maintenance Strategy.

 S1 a Core Service Property 1 a critical or high profile asset to be in the best
 - S1 a Core Service Property 1 a critical or high profile asset to be in the best possible condition.
 - S2 a Core Service Property 2 an asset that must be in good condition both operationally and aesthetically.
 - S3 a Core Service Property 3 an asset in reasonably good condition that meets operational and statutory requirements.
 - S4 a Non-Core Service Operational Property an asset in a condition to meet minimum operational and statutory requirements.
 - S5 a Non-Core Service Non-Operational Property asset to meet statutory requirements.
- 3.4 Property & Design maintain a rolling programme of condition surveys whereby every building is surveyed within a 5-year period. The surveys assess the overall condition of the asset and its various elements to identify works required within a 5-year timeframe. Elements are given a condition rating of A to D and remedial works a priority rating of 1 to 3.
 - A Good performing as intended and operating efficiently.
 - B Satisfactory performing as intended but with minor deterioration.
 - C Poor not performing as intended and/or with major deterioration.
 - D Life Expired life expired and/or with serious risk of failure.

Priority 1 Urgent work that will prevent immediate closure of the property, address an immediate high Health & Safety risk and/or remedy a serious breach of legislation.

Priority 2 Essential work required within 2 years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric of the property or associated services, address a medium Health & Safety risk and/or remedy a less serious breach of legislation. Priority 3 Desirable work required within 3 to 5 years that will prevent deterioration of the fabric of the property or associated services, address a low Health & safety risk and / or remedy a minor breach of legislation.

- 3.5 The three categories of strategic rating (S1 to S5), condition (A to D) and priority (1 to 3) are input into a series of matrices to create an overall works prioritisation to inform the 5 year and annual programme of planned maintenance works. The aim is to ensure that the most urgent works are identified with the greatest priority given to those elements in the worst condition in our most strategically important buildings.
- 3.6 To enable the allocation of available budgets, the Corporate Building Maintenance Strategy defines works into three distinct areas;
 - Priority A Compliance Works these are statutory and legislative requirements where non-compliance would result in severe health and safety implications or closure of the building. Funds for works necessary for compliance are therefore top-sliced from the respective budgets.

- Priority B Service Led Maintenance Backlog Works these are works considered by client service departments as essential to achieve corporate or operational service objectives. This year the Corporate Planned Maintenance Client bids were used to help identify and prioritise these. Such work may or may not have already been identified as high priority through the technical prioritisation of the condition surveys. We aim for a maximum of 40% of the residual budget (after deducting priority A compliance works) will be spent on this category of work.
- Priority C Condition Survey Led Maintenance Backlog Works these are works prioritised through the rolling programme of condition surveys described in 3.4 and 3.5. Budget allocation for this category of work is aimed at 60% of the residual budget (after deducting priority A compliance works).
- 3.7 Like most local authorities, the council faces a backlog in its required maintenance and in a resource constrained environment needs to ensure best use of resources, value for money and that funding is properly prioritised. The AMP & CPS and Corporate Building Maintenance Strategy further details the way in which the council manage the required maintenance of its property assets. All Priority C and the majority of Priority B works are aimed at the current backlog figure.

3.8 Required Annual Maintenance (£m)

| | Priority 1 | Priority 2 | Priority 3 | Total |
|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Operational | £7.9m | £6.3m | £3.6m | £17.8m |
| Non-Operational | £0.2m | £0.7m | £1.1m | £2.0m |
| Total | £8.1m | £7.0m | £4.7m | £19.8m |

The variation between these required maintenance figures and last years is the result of new and updated condition surveys undertaken during 2012, the re-prioritisation of some elements of work as well as cost uplifts to reflect current construction pricing. NB: these figures exclude required maintenance for council housing, highways and educational establishments as they are reported separately.

3.9 The annual allocation addresses the more critical and fundamental works and ensures that the compliance works and key Health and Safety risks are addressed. The total value of Priority 1 works is currently reported at £8.1m. The combined available budgets after deducting the annual routine term maintenance contract budgets, planned programme supporting budgets and the Dome Sinking Fund contribution is £2.5m. Therefore the budget total is £5.6m short of a stand-still position. However, the allocated programme of works can be reprioritised during the year to reflect any emerging risks. The requirement for 2014/15 will be known once remaining Priority 1 and Priority 2 works are reassessed during the next financial year.

- 3.10 Our total five year maintenance figure is certain to increase as we fine tune our asset management information gathering techniques. Such increases can be attributed to a variety of factors including:
 - § Inflation in tender costs for construction work outstripping increases in the allocated annual preventative maintenance budgets.
 - § Improved knowledge of our building stock condition through enhanced surveys.
 - S Better understanding of new legislation which places significant demands on the council.
 - § Additional building elements having reached the end of their useful life.
- 3.11 We closely monitor our required maintenance level and provide an annual report through our Property Performance Indicators (PPIs) which are published on our website. We are also seeking ways to improve the quality of our condition data to ensure that our reported required maintenance figure remains as accurate and valid as possible and can be used to inform the budget setting process and the Medium Term Financial Strategy. Property & Design reports annually on both the total required maintenance figure as well as the proportion in each of priorities 1 to 3 as one of the suite of property performance indicators under the National Property Performance Management Initiative (NaPPMI) which the Council has adopted. The results of the PIs are benchmarked nationally and locally and the results are used to inform our future action plans under the Asset Management Plan.
- 3.12 In accordance with the council's 50 year lease agreement with the trustees of the Dome Complex that commenced in 1999, £190,000 has been top-sliced from the budget annually to contribute to a sinking fund for maintenance liabilities at the Dome. There is an obligation within the lease agreement that the council provides a contribution to a sinking fund each year (that increases by RPI) to maintain the fabric of the building including major items of plant and the budget for this is included in the Planned Maintenance Budget.
- 3.13 Adoption of the Corporate Landlord Function As part of the council's consolidation transformation projects that all resource support services are implementing, from 1st April 2013 the council will adopt the Corporate Landlord model and centralise the council's property functions to the professional teams in Property & Design. The aim is to improve the utilisation, efficiency and effectiveness of our land and buildings through three key principles;
 - A single property Asset Strategy for the council.
 - Maximise value through centralisation of budgets and a robust standardised approach to building maintenance procurement and contract management.
 - Minimise corporate risk in terms of both finance and health and safety.

Benefits of the Corporate Landlord Model include;

 A single unified asset strategy that ensures the best use of the council's assets, enables regeneration of the City and co-ordinates an integrated customer focused service provision across the City working in tandem with our partners.

- Enable informed strategic asset management decision making supporting the identification and implementation of outcomes and service delivery across the City.
- Develop and implement a co-ordinated and well communicated property investment and disinvestment strategy
- Provide a value for money property service and consultancy service for Clients offering architecture and design, building surveying, property valuations and negotiations of leases, disposals and acquisitions and energy management.
- Removing the burden of property management from service managers, liberating them to deliver the services their customers want
- The provision of statutory compliant buildings
- Reduction of corporate risk
- Enable and support services to make changes to their service delivery in the short, medium and long term
- Reduced energy consumption and CO₂ emissions aligned to the Council's Carbon Reduction Plan
- The effective management of the Council's backlog maintenance position, prioritising all maintenance spend consistently and fairly to align with the council's priorities
- Asset utilisation and financial return is maximised
- Production of accurate property performance data to inform strategic decisions
- Effective management of all property budgets
- Increased utilisation of Council assets
- Delivery of rationalisation and consolidation projects in support of organisation modernisation programmes, e.g. rollout of Workstyles across the organisations
- Support innovative approaches to service delivery providing sustainable assets that are fit for purpose
- Provision of a central helpdesk offering a on-stop shop for property related gueries and repair requests and implementation.
- 3.14 Procurement of Planned Maintenance Contract Standing Orders set out the mechanism for the procurement of works. Historically most works funded through this budget have been procured using well written professional specifications and the traditional selective tendering route with contractors from the council's preapproved lists. Larger value projects are procured and delivered through the council's 5 year Strategic Construction Partnership improving project value for money and reducing risk. For the past two years a suite of planned maintenance projects have been procured to local contractors using the Improvement and Efficiency South East (IESE) Sussex contractor framework. Working in close collaboration with Property colleagues at East Sussex County Council, this openbook form of procurement adopts similar principles to the Strategic Construction Partnership. Benefits include simpler, quicker and reduced risk procurement, time and cost predictability, using resources more efficiently and supporting local SMEs.

Property and Design's construction professionals are working closely with neighbouring county, district and borough councils, housing associations, Health

Trusts, Universities, East Sussex Fire and Rescue and Sussex Police and have re-procured the Sussex contractor framework for 2013-2017 through the EU public procurement procedure. It is anticipated that further collaborative working will result in greater efficiencies and savings through cross-Client bundling of packages of works.

3.15 Procurement of Term Maintenance & Servicing Contracts – One of the benefits of the adoption of the Corporate Landlord model will be the economy of scale, efficiencies and ease of management through the combination and retendering of several, smaller, similar contracts into a single contract(s).

4. CONSULTATION

4.1 Regular consultations have taken place with all Client Officers of the relevant Departments and with technical officers.

5. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Financial Implications:

- 5.1 The council's revenue funded Planned Maintenance Budget for 2013-14 provides a total of £3,529,000 for annual planned maintenance expenditure on the council's civic offices, historic, operational (excluding schools and social care) and commercial buildings which reflects anticipated savings through smarter contract procurement and the benefits of efficiencies through the centralisation of the corporate landlord function. Included within this annual budget and overall programme of works are items that will be capitalised and included in the 2013-14 capital programme. The proposed budget allocation to the respective building portfolios reflects the risk prioritisation outlined in the report and is shown in Appendix A to this report.
- 5.2 The council's capital funded works programme provides an additional £500,000, for improvement works to social care premises. The proposed budget allocation is shown in Appendix B to this report.
- 5.3 The programme of works set out in the appendices can be funded from within the agreed budget allocations for 2013-14. Emerging compliance risks will be addressed by reprioritising the allocation as required. There is a shortfall between the Priority 1 works identified and the budget allocation available. Risk and priorities will be reassessed and considered in the development of the allocation for 2014-15.

Finance Officer Consulted: Rob Allen Date: 8/2/13

Legal Implications:

5.4 Where appropriate, works of repair set out in this report will comply with relevant lease conditions and health and safety/other statutory legislation. Framework agreements with individual contracts being called off under the frameworks using partnering agreements are effective contractual tools for delivering construction contracts on time within budget. The other procurement methods outlined in this report must comply with the council's Contract Standing Orders. Contracts over £75,000 must be sealed by Legal Services.

Lawyer Consulted: Isabella Sidoli Date: 8/2/13

Equalities Implications:

Where applicable, items of maintenance work within the programme will consider the Equality Act 2010 to improve access and general facilities to address the diverse needs of staff and users of the civic offices, operational and commercial buildings.

Sustainability Implications:

5.6 Sustainability will be improved through the rationalisation of assets, associated infrastructure and environmental improvements. Energy efficiency measures are incorporated into maintenance works where appropriate.

Crime & Disorder Implications:

5.7 There are no direct implications in respect of the prevention of crime and disorder within this report although certain items of work try to minimise vandalism through design and the use of relevant materials.

Risk & Opportunity Management Implications:

5.8 The risks and opportunities are dependent on the successful procurement of contractors and robust contract and financial management to ensure that works are completed safely within budget and programme. Corporate risk will be reduced through the Corporate Landlord model, ensuring consistency of approach for statutory and other legal requirements.

Corporate / Citywide Implications:

5.9 The maintenance of operational properties is part of the Asset Management & Corporate Property Strategy to ensure efficient and effective use of assets contributing to the City and the council's strategic priorities.

6. EVALUATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTION(S):

6.1 Failure to maintain our building stock will increase risks, inhibit service delivery, may lead to a negative perception of the council, reduce the value of the assets and prevent fulfilling the council's priorities, aims and objectives as stated in the AMP & CPS and Corporate Plan.

7. REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 To approve the financial allocation to an annual programme of maintenance works to the operational buildings excluding council housing, highways and educational properties which have their own budgetary provisions.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices:

- A. Proposed Corporate Planned Maintenance Budget Allocation 2013-14
- B. Proposed Social Care Planned Works Budget Allocation 2013-14

Documents in Members' Rooms

None

Background Documents

- 1. The Asset Management Plan & Corporate Property Strategy 2008-2011.
- 2. The Corporate Building Maintenance Strategy 2009-2012.

APPENDIX A: Proposed Corporate Planned Maintenance Budget Allocation 2013-14

| Property Type | Property Type Examples of works and properties covered | | Budget Allocation £ |
|---|--|------------------|------------------------|
| Corporate - Building | Clearance of roofs, gutters, testing, surveys | All | 72,500 |
| Corporate - Mechanical and Electrical | Plant, boilers, legionella control, lifts, electrical testing & maintenance | All | 776,150 |
| Historic | Royal Pavilion, Preston Manor, Museums | Tim Thearle | 556,500 |
| Dome | General maintenance | Dome | 190,000 |
| Leisure | Leisure Centres, swimming pools, paddling pools, Withdean | Toby Kingsbury | 411,000 |
| Libraries | Rottingdean & Westdene Libraries | Sally McMahon | 78,000 |
| Seafront | Seafront maintenance graffiti removal, Volks Railway, Madeira Terrace | Toni Manuel | 404,750 |
| Amenity | Pavilions, Memorials & walls | Peter Wickson | 75,000 |
| Civic | Town Halls, council offices | Martin Hedgecock | 212,700 |
| Hollingdean Depot | Hollingdean Depot | Jan Jonker | 70,000 |
| Commercial | New England House, Holy Trinity, misc. Landlord obligations | | 341,400 |
| Venues | Brighton Centre, Hove Town Hall | Howard Barden | 341,000 |
| | TOTAL | | £3,529,000 |

Appendix B Proposed Social Care Planned Works Budget Allocation 2013-14

| Property Type | Examples of works covered | Prioritisation | Budget Allocation |
|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| Social Care Premises | Mechanical – replacement of boilers & heating controls | Condition survey & energy efficiency measures | £115,000 |
| | Internal Refurbishment –to meet hygiene regulations & internal refurbishment | Statutory & condition survey | £135,000 |
| | External Refurbishment – roofing, window replacement, external repairs & redecoration | Condition survey | £169,000 |
| | Fire Precautions – works identified through the on-going programme of fire risk assessments | Statutory | £11,000 |
| | Health & Safety – Legionella control measures to the water systems | Statutory & condition survey | £30,000 |
| | Passenger Lifts | Statutory & condition survey | £40,000 |
| | | TOTAL: | £500,000 |